



PUBLICATION

MUSTANG

A MULTiple Space and Time scale Approach for the quaNtification of deep saline formations for CO₂ storaGe

Project Number: 227286

AUTHORS: Michael Kühn, Andreas Busch, Auli Niemi, Alexandra Amann-Hildenbrand, Thomas Kempka, Stefan Lüth

TITLE: CO₂ storage is feasible and further demonstration projects are needed

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme [FP7/2007/2013] under grant agreement n° [227286]

Status	AUTHOR VERSION
Date	2013
Publisher	Elsevier Science
Reference	International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, Vol. 19, pp. 606-608, 2013

CO₂ storage is feasible and further demonstration projects are needed

CO₂ storage at the EGU general assembly 2012

Michael Kühn¹, Andreas Busch², Auli Niemi³, Alexandra Amann-Hildenbrand⁴, Thomas Kempka¹, Stefan Lüth¹

¹GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Potsdam, Germany

²Shell Global Solutions International BV, Rijswijk, Netherlands

³Uppsala University, Department of Earth Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden

⁴RWTH Aachen University, Germany

Preface

The General Assembly of the European Geosciences Union (EGU) is the largest conference in Europe dedicated to geosciences. Approximately 11,000 participants were registered in 2012, with the conference being hosted for the 8th time in Vienna, Austria, from 22 to 27 April. Within the EGU the Division Energy, Resources & the Environment (ERE) was hosting four sessions dedicated to the geological storage of CO₂. From more than 118 papers presented at the conference, 15 were selected for publication in this special issue of the International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control. All articles are fully peer-reviewed and cover various important aspects of CO₂ storage, demonstrating the diversity of disciplines required for the planning of safe and feasible long-term underground storage of CO₂.

We recognize that research has come a long way in addressing the reliability of long-term CO₂ storage and the feasibility has been proven in several demonstration and large-scale field operations. Research should therefore focus on site selection and pore space capacity in the future. This is concluded for example by Jenkins et al. (2012): *“The Otway Project has provided verification of the underlying science of CO₂ storage in a depleted gas field [...] and quantitative verification of long-term storage has been demonstrated. A direct measurement of storage efficiency has been made, confirming that CO₂ storage in depleted gas fields can be safe and effective, and that these structures could store globally significant amounts of CO₂.”* Comparable to this study are the results from the second largest but now depleted European onshore gas field in the Altmark, Germany. Kühn et al. (2012) came to the final result that *“... the research project provides the technological, logistic and conceptual prerequisites for implementing a CO₂-based EGR project in the Altmark”*. Martens et al. (2012) gives similar arguments for aquifer storage: *“The Ketzin project successfully demonstrates CO₂ storage in a saline aquifer on a research scale.”* Consequently, the next step would be towards a new generation of field tests. Oldenburg (2011) postulates that an *“Improved understanding of geologic CO₂ storage processes requires risk-driven field experiments [...] aimed at understanding the circumstances under which things can go wrong [...] because] the central challenge of carbon dioxide capture and storage (CCS) lies in its vast scale.”* The importance of field tests covering various geological and technological settings to take CCS forward is emphasized also in the recent report of the European Academies Science Advisory Council for Europe (EASAC 2013).

Despite all the encouraging results from these field tests showing the general feasibility of safe CO₂ storage we do have knowledge gaps to fill. This we tried to do within the ERE programme including the following sessions:

Long-term storage of CO₂ in geological systems: results from laboratory studies

Subsurface flow of CO₂ through saline and hydrocarbon reservoirs exhibits distinct phase behaviour, chemical reactivity and petrophysical flow properties relative to other systems that have been extensively studied in the fields of petroleum engineering, groundwater hydrology and contaminant transport. Experimental investigations are critical for the development of accurate simulations of CO₂ flow and trapping in the subsurface. This session focused on investigations of the most pressing timely issues in the geologic storage of CO₂ that are either conducted as a pure experimental or as a combined experimental/modelling studies. From this session two studies are included in this special issue: Hangx et al. (2013) studied the effect of CO₂ on the mechanical properties of the Captain D sandstone acting as the primary storage reservoir in the Goldeneye field, offshore Scotland. Amann-Hildenbrand et al. (2013) investigated the sealing capacity of generic, worldwide clay-rich caprocks.

Site characterization for CO₂ geological storage sites

Site characterization of geological formations for storage of CO₂ is a principal task. Several conference contributions highlighted and demonstrated advances in process understanding and the development of related measurement techniques. Furthermore, the role of modelling in assessing the physical and chemical evolution of the storage site and the effect of spatial variability of geological properties with regard to reservoir capacity and risk assessment were discussed. Within this context Fagerlund et al. (2013) studied the design of a two-well field test to determine the in-situ residual and dissolution trapping of CO₂, which was applied to the Heletz CO₂ injection site (Niemi et al. 2012). Martinez-Landa et al. (2013) suggest the application of hydraulic tests in order to identify the residual CO₂ saturation at a geological storage site. Vilarassa et al. (2013) focused on the hydromechanical characterization of CO₂ injection sites. Cahill et al. (2013) present in detail and discuss a small field scale experiment to study the hydro-geochemical impact of CO₂ leakage on shallow potable aquifers.

Modelling and up-scaling of CO₂ storage sites

Static geological modelling and dynamic flow modelling of CO₂ storage sites is of utmost importance for an efficient and safe site operation. Numerical modelling allows for understanding site-specific processes and risks and consequently for the prediction of short- to long-term site behaviour. Commercial and scientific modelling tools available are able to capture the major processes involved in CO₂ storage. However, unified modelling approaches related to data integration into static models and parameter up-scaling from point data to reservoir- or regional-scale models have to be developed in order to ensure reliability, reproducibility and comparability of modelling results. Nevertheless, new modelling approaches were presented at the EGU General Assembly, e.g. the utilization of virtual elements to represent brine migration via discrete faults at regional-scale and related salinization of shallow aquifers (Tillner et al. 2013). Furthermore, Ashraf et al. (2013) introduced a new tool to assess the feasibility and efficiency of global sensitivity analysis and risk assessment using the arbitrary polynomial chaos. Klein et al. (2013) evaluate the long-term mineral trapping at the Ketzin pilot site using an integrative approach coupling geochemical modelling and reservoir simulations. Afanasyev (2013) implemented a new simulation tool for multiphase compositional flow modelling and demonstrate its applicability in CO₂ injection at subcritical conditions to understand the impact of dissolution and phase transitions between liquid and gaseous

CO₂ at in situ conditions. Yang et al. (2013) present an approach to upscale two-phase flow characteristics, including the capillary pressure and relative permeability functions for multi-model heterogeneous systems and analyse the effect the rock material composition has on these. Basis for every dynamic model is the static model. Within this respect Norden and Frykman (2013) discuss the implementation of the Ketzin pilot site reservoir model.

Geophysical imaging of CO₂ geological storage sites

Geophysical methods play a key role for the non-invasive investigation and the spatial and temporal characterization of underground CO₂ storage sites. Estimates of storage capacity and the detection of potential leakage paths before the injection as well as time-lapse monitoring of the CO₂ plume in the subsurface during and after injection are mandatory key tasks to be performed. An example is given by Ivanova et al. (2013) with the discussion about the impact of temperature on CO₂ storage at the Ketzin site based on fluid flow simulations and seismic data. Alcalde et al. (2013) describe active seismic characterization experiments of the Hontomín research facility. Hagrey et al. (2013) introduce the combination of seismic and geoelectric modelling studies of parameters controlling CO₂ storage in saline formations.

The collection of manuscripts presented in this special issue give a flavour of the scientific width and depth of the disciplines needed to assess if CO₂ storage becomes an available option to mitigate climate change and present a brief overview of the state of the science.

Acknowledgements

We as guest editors of this special issue and convener of the CO₂ sessions at the General Assembly 2012 of the European Geosciences Union (EGU) owe many thanks in general to all presenters who contributed with talks and posters to the conference programme of the division Energy, Resources & the Environment (ERE) of the EGU and in particular to the authors of this special issue.

References

- Afanasyev AA (2013) Multiphase compositional modelling of CO₂ injection under subcritical conditions: The impact of dissolution and phase transitions between liquid and gaseous CO₂ on reservoir temperature. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*. Online first, doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2013.01.042
- Alcalde J, Martí D, Calahorrano A, Marzan I, Ayarza P, Carbonell R, Juhlin C, Pérez-Estaún A (2013) Active seismic characterization experiments of the Hontomín research facility for geological storage of CO₂, Spain. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*. Online first, doi: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2013.01.039
- Amann-Hildenbrand A, Bertier P, Busch A, Krooss BM (2013) Experimental investigation of the sealing capacity of generic clay-rich caprocks. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*. Online first, doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2013.01.040
- Ashraf M, Oladyshkin S, Nowak W (2013) Geological storage of CO₂: Application, feasibility and efficiency of global sensitivity analysis and risk assessment using the arbitrary polynomial chaos. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*. Online first, doi: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2013.03.023
- Attai al Hagrey S, Strahser M, Rabbel W (2013) Seismic and geoelectric modeling studies of parameters controlling CO₂ geostorage in saline formations. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*. Online first, doi: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2013.01.041
- Cahill AG, Jakobsen R (2013) Hydro-geochemical impact of CO₂ leakage from geological storage on shallow potable aquifers: A field scale pilot experiment. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*. Online first, doi: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2013.03.015
- European Academies Science Advisory Council (2013) Carbon capture and storage in Europe. EASAC policy report 20. May 2013. 86 pages. ISBN: 978-3-8047-3180-6

- Fagerlund F, Niemi A, Bensabat J, Shtivelman V (2013) Design of a two-well field test to determine in situ residual and dissolution trapping of CO₂ applied to the Heletz CO₂ injection site. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*. Online first, doi: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2013.01.036
- Hangx S, van der Linden A, Marcelis F, Bauer A (2013) The effect of CO₂ on the mechanical properties of the Captain Sandstone: Geological storage of CO₂ at the Goldeneye field (UK). *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*. Online first, doi: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2012.12.016
- Ivanova A, Juhlin C, Lengler U, Bergmann P, Lüth S, Kempka T (2013) Impact of temperature on CO₂ storage at the Ketzin site based on fluid flow simulations and seismic data. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*. Online first, doi: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2013.05.001
- Jenkins CR, Cook PJ, Ennis-King J, Undershultz J, Boreham C, Dance T, de Caritat P, Etheridge DM, Freifeld BM, Hortle A, Kirste D, Paterson L, Pevzner R, Schacht U, Sharma S, Stalker L, Urosevic M (2012) Safe storage and effective monitoring of CO₂ in depleted gas fields. *PNAS* 109(2):E35–E41. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1107255108
- Klein E, De Lucia M, Kempka T, Kühn M (2013) Evaluation of long-term mineral trapping at the Ketzin pilot site for CO₂ storage: An integrative approach using geochemical modelling and reservoir simulation. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*. Online first, doi: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2013.05.014
- Kühn M, Tesmer M, Pilz P, Meyer R, Reinicke K, Förster A, Kolditz O, Schäfer D, CLEAN Partners (2012) CLEAN: project overview on CO₂ large-scale enhanced gas recovery in the Altmark natural gas field (Germany). *Environ. Earth Sci.* 67(2), 311–321. doi: 10.1007/s12665-012-1714-z
- Martens S, Kempka T, Liebscher A, Lüth S, Möller F, Myrntinen A, Norden B, Schmidt-Hattenberger C, Zimmer M, Kühn M (2012): Europe's longest-operating on-shore CO₂ storage site at Ketzin, Germany: a progress report after three years of injection. *Environ. Earth Sci.* 67(2), 323–334. doi 10.1007/s12665-012-1672-5
- Martinez-Lande L, Rötting TS, Carrera J, Russian A, Dentz M, Cubillo B (2013) Use of hydraulic tests to identify the residual CO₂ saturation at a geological storage site. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*. Online first, doi: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2013.01.043
- Niemi A, Bensabat J, Fagerlund F, Sauter M, Ghergut J, Licha T, Fierz T, Wiegand G, Rasmusson M, Rasmusson K, Shtivelman V, Gendler M, MUSTANG Partners (2012) Small-scale CO₂ injection into a deep geological formation at Heletz, Israel. *Energy Procedia*. 23, 504–511. doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2012.06.048
- Norden B, Frykman P (2013) Geological modelling of the Triassic Stuttgart Formation at the Ketzin CO₂ storage site, Germany. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*. Online first, doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2013.04.019
- Oldenburg CM (2011) Improved understanding of geologic CO₂ storage processes requires risk-driven field experiments. *Greenhouse Gas Sci Technol.* 1:191–193. doi: 10.1002/ghg
- Tillner E, Kempka T, Nakaten B, Kühn M (2013) Brine migration through fault zones: 3D numerical simulations for a prospective CO₂ storage site in Northeast Germany. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*. Online first, doi: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2013.03.012
- Vilarassa V, Carrera J, Olivella S (2013) Hydromechanical characterization of CO₂ injection sites. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*. Online first, doi: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2012.11.014
- Yang Z, Tian L, Niemi A, Fagerlund F (2013) Upscaling of the constitutive relationships for CO₂ migration in multimodal heterogeneous formations. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*. Online first, doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2012.11.015